6-8 July, 2007 Stanford University

From the lexicon to a stochastic grammar

Michael Becker, michael@linguist.umass.edu University of Massachusetts, Amherst

The problem: Getting from a lexicon to a grammar

Phonological processes that are restricted to certain lexical items typically apply stochastically to novel items.

The behavior of novel items reflects lexical trends (Hayes & Londe 2006, Albright & Hayes 2003, Zuraw 2000, and several others)

\rightarrow We need a way to project a stochastic grammar from the lexicon

GLA (Boersma 1997):

The GLA doesn't distinguish existing words from novel words. The GLA can't use a lexicon to learn a stochastic grammar, as it will wrongly promote general faithfulness (Hayes & Londe 2006, Tessier 2006).

USELISTED (Zuraw 2000):

Distinguishes existing items from novel ones, but doesn't derive the patterning of novel items from the trend created by the listed items.

Case study: Hebrew plurals

Hebrew has two plural markers:

- -im on most masculine nouns
- -ot on most feminine nouns

Most of the masculine nouns that exceptionally take –ot have [o] in their final syllable. The preference for –ot in masculine nouns that end in [o] applies productively to novel nouns, as seen in Berent, Pinker & Shimron (1999).

Analysis: Regular nouns allow mid vowels freely; irregular nouns want mid vowels to be licensed by an adjacent stressed mid vowel.



A morphological constraint, φ -MATCH, requires the masculine –im on masculine nouns. φ -MATCH conflicts with mid vowel licensing:

$/alon_{MASC} + \{im_{MASC}, ot_{FEM}\}/$		ф-Матсн	*Mid	
9	a.	alon-ím		*
	b.	alon-ót	*!	

$/xalon_{MASC} + \{im_{MASC}, ot_{FEM}\}/$		*Mid	φ-Μатсн	
	a.	xalon-ím	*!	
ŀ	b.	xalon-ót		*

The solution: Clone a constraint, then keep track of lexical items

When lexical items demand conflicting rankings, BCD (Prince & Tesar 1999) detects inconsistency and stalls:

	φ-Μатсн	*Mid
alon-ím ~ alon-ót	W	L
xalon-ót ~ xalon-ím	L	W

The Pater (2006) solution: Clone a constraint to resolve the inconsistency. My proposal: Make **both** clones lexically specific.

	MID_{xalon}	φ-Μатсн	MID_{alon}
alon-ím ~ alon-ót		W	L
xalon-ót ~ xalon-ím	W	L	

Result: A categorical grammar for listed lexical items:

 $*MID_{\{xalon, makom, \dots\}} \gg \phi \text{-}MATCH \gg *MID_{\{alon, \ \check{s}aon, \ pago \check{s}, \dots\}}$

The relative number of lexical items on each clone defines a stochastic grammar:

*Mid $_{24\%}$ » ϕ -Match » *Mid $_{76\%}$

Cloning specific constraints early

Exceptions without [o] in them are selected using a constraint that doesn't depend on the root vowel, e.g. $* \acute{o}/HI$

$/gag_{MASC} + \{im_{MASC}, ot_{FEM}\}/$	*Mid	*ớ/HI	φ-Μатсн
a. gag-ím		*!	
🖙 b. gag-ót			*

*MID accounts for fewer lexical items, i.e. it is more specific:

	*Mid	*ớ/H1	ф-Матсн
alon-ím ~ alon-ót	L	L	W
xalon-ót ~ xalon-ím	W	W	L
gag-ót ~ gag-ím		W	L

If we clone $* \hat{\sigma}$ /HI first, it will account for all exceptions, and the mid vowel effect will be lost:

```
\otimes * \acute{\sigma}/HI_{\{xalon, gag\}} \gg \phi-Match \gg *MID, *\acute{\sigma}/HI_{\{alon\}}
```

We must clone *MID first to list words with [o] in them, then clone $* \acute{\sigma}$ /HI to account for words without [o]:

 $*M_{ID_{\{xalon,\}}},*\acute{\sigma}/H_{I_{\{gag\}}} \gg \phi\text{-}M_{ATCH} \gg *M_{ID_{\{alon\}}}, *\acute{\sigma}/H_{I_{\{alon\}}}$

Check out the implementation!

The input: A list of OTSoft (Hayes, Tesar & Zuraw 2004) tableaux, each representing a lexical item.

I use RCD to detect inconsistency, then clone a constraint that assigns the non-zero minimum of both W's and L's to the set of inconsistent ERC's. This continues recursively, until the data becomes consistent, or can't be made consistent by cloning.

The output: a single grammar that is categorical relative to existing lexical items, but can apply stochastically to novel items.

 $*M_{ID_{\{xalon,\}}},*\acute{\sigma}/H_{\{gag\}} \gg \phi\text{-}M_{ATCH} \gg *M_{ID_{\{alon\}}}, *\acute{\sigma}/H_{I_{\{alon\}}}$

The program uses code from JavaTableau (Becker, Potts & Pater 2007) and OT-Help (Becker & Pater 2007).

References

Albright, Adam and Bru	ice Hayes (2003) Lea	arning nonlocal	environments.	Talk given
at the LSA yearly	neeting in Atlanta, Ja	an 4.		

- Becker, Michael, Christopher Potts and Joe Pater (2007) JavaTableau. Open-source software, UMass Amherst
- Becker, Michael & Joe Pater (2007) OT-Help. Open-source software, UMass Amherst.
- Beckman, Jill (2004) Positional Faithfulness, in Optimality Theory in Phonology: A Reader, John J. McCarthy (ed), 310–342.

Berent, Iris, Steven Pinker & Joseph Shimron (1999). Default nominal inflection in Hebrew: Evidence for mental variables. Cognition 72. 1–44

Boersma, Paul (1997). How we learn variation, optionality, and probability. Proceedings of the Institute of Phonetic Sciences of the University of Amsterdam 21. 43–58.

Hayes, Bruce, Bruce Tesar and Kie Zuraw (2004) OTSoft 2.1. Software package, http://www.linguistics.ucla.edu/people/hayes/otsoft/

Hayes, Bruce and Zsuzsa Londe (2006) Stochastic phonological knowledge: the case of Hungarian vowel harmony. Phonology 23. 59–104

Pater, Joe (to appear) Morpheme-Specific Phonology: Constraint Indexation and Inconsistency Resolution. In Steve Parker, (ed.) Phonological Argumentation: Essays on Evidence and Motivation. London: Equinox.

Prince, Alan and Bruce Tesar (1999) Learning Phonotactic Distributions. ROA-353. Zuraw, Kie (2000). Patterned Exceptions in Phonology. Ph.D. dissertation, UCLA.